

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	x
1	PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1	Purpose and Need for Proposed Action	1-2
1.1.1	The Immediate Submarine Threat	1-4
1.1.2	SURTASS LFA is Critical to Meet the Submarine Threat.....	1-6
1.1.3	Littorals	1-8
1.2	Background	1-9
1.2.1	Court Opinion and Order	1-10
1.2.2	Military Readiness and Environmental Compliance	1-12
1.2.3	System Upgrades	1-14
1.3	Environmental Impact Analysis Process	1-15
1.4	Analytical Context	1-16
1.4.1	Adequacy of Scientific Information on Human Divers	1-16
1.4.2	Adequacy of Scientific Information on Marine Animals	1-17
1.4.2.1	Estimating the Potential for Injury to Marine Mammals	1-17
1.4.2.2	Estimating the Potential for Behavioral Effects	1-17
1.4.2.3	Masking	1-17
1.4.2.4	Estimating the Potential for Injury to Fish Stocks	1-17
1.4.2.5	Marine Mammal Strandings	1-17
1.4.3	Analytical Approach	1-18
1.4.4	NEPA Disclosure	1-18
2	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	General System Descriptions.....	2-1
2.1.1	Active System Component	2-3
2.1.2	Passive System Component	2-4
2.2	Operating Profile	2-5
2.3	Potential Operational Areas	2-5
2.4	Mitigation Measures	2-8
2.5	Interim Operational Restrictions and Proposed Modifications to Mitigation	2-9
2.5.1	NMFS Interim Operational Restrictions.....	2-9
2.5.2	Court's Issues.....	2-10
2.5.2.1	Training in Areas of Reduced Risk.....	2-10
2.5.2.2	Modification of Shutdown Procedures to Schools of Fish	2-11
2.5.2.3	Potential Injury to Fish	2-11
2.5.2.4	Pre-Operational Surveys	2-11
2.6	Alternatives	2-11
2.6.1	No Action Alternative.....	2-13
2.6.2	Alternative 1	2-13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	2.6.3 Alternative 2 (The Preferred Alternative).....	2-13
	2.6.4 Alternative 3	2-13
	2.6.5 Alternative 4	2-13
	2.7 Additional Research.....	2-15
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3.1-1
	3.1 Marine Environment.....	3.1-1
	3.2 Marine Organisms.....	3.2-1
	3.2.1 Species Screening	3.2-1
	3.2.1.1 Invertebrates.....	3.2-2
	3.2.1.2 Vertebrates	3.2-2
	3.2.2 Fish	3.2-7
	3.2.2.1 Background.....	3.2-7
	3.2.2.2 Hearing Capabilities, Sound Production, and Detection	3.2-7
	3.2.2.3 Sharks.....	3.2-17
	3.2.2.4 Threatened and Endangered Fish Stocks	3.2-19
	3.2.3 Sea Turtles	3.2-19
	3.2.3.1 Background.....	3.2-19
	3.2.3.2 Sea Turtle Hearing Capabilities and Sound Production	3.2-25
	3.2.4 Cetaceans	3.2-33
	3.2.4.1 Mysticete Species	3.2-34
	3.2.4.2 Odontocete Species.....	3.2-57
	3.2.5 Pinnipeds.....	3.2-115
	3.2.5.1 Otariidae.....	3.2-117
	3.2.5.2 Phocidae.....	3.2-135
	3.3 Socioeconomics	3.3-1
	3.3.1 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries	3.3-1
	3.3.1.1 Marine Fisheries Production.....	3.3-1
	3.3.1.2 Fisheries Trade.....	3.3-8
	3.3.1.3 Marine Mammals	3.3-9
	3.3.2 Other Recreational Activities.....	3.3-14
	3.3.2.1 Swimming and Snorkeling.....	3.3-14
	3.3.2.2 Recreational Diving	3.3-14
	3.3.2.3 Whale Watching.....	3.3-15
	3.3.3 Research and Exploration Activities.....	3.3-16
	3.3.3.1 Oceanographic Research.....	3.3-16
	3.3.3.2 Oil and Gas Production.....	3.3-18
	3.3.4 Coastal Zone Management	3.3-19
4	IMPACTS OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	4-1
	4.1 Potential Impacts on Fish	4-3
	4.1.1 Potential Impacts on Fish (Class Osteichthyes) Stocks	4-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	4.1.1.1 Non-auditory Injury.....	4-4
	4.1.1.2 Permanent Loss of Hearing.....	4-4
	4.1.1.3 Temporary Loss of Hearing.....	4-8
	4.1.1.4 Behavioral Change.....	4-18
	4.1.1.5 Masking.....	4-20
	4.1.1.6 Conclusions.....	4-21
4.1.2	Potential Impacts on Fish (Class Elasmobranch/Shark) Stocks.....	4-22
	4.1.2.1 Non-auditory Injury.....	4-22
	4.1.2.2 Permanent Loss of Hearing.....	4-22
	4.1.2.3 Temporary Loss of Hearing.....	4-22
	4.1.2.4 Behavioral Change (Attraction/Repulsion).....	4-23
	4.1.2.5 Behavioral Change (Migration).....	4-24
	4.1.2.6 Masking.....	4-24
	4.1.2.7 Conclusions.....	4-25
4.2	Potential Impacts on Sea Turtle Stocks.....	4-25
	4.2.1 Injury.....	4-26
	4.2.2 Permanent Loss of Hearing.....	4-26
	4.2.3 Temporary Loss of Hearing.....	4-27
	4.2.4 Behavioral Change.....	4-28
	4.2.5 Masking.....	4-29
	4.2.6 Conclusions.....	4-29
4.3	Potential Impacts on Marine Mammal Stocks.....	4-30
	4.3.1 Non-Auditory Injury.....	4-30
	4.3.2 Permanent Loss of Hearing.....	4-33
	4.3.3 Temporary Loss of Hearing.....	4-35
	4.3.4 Behavioral Change.....	4-35
	4.3.5 Masking.....	4-36
	4.3.6 Conclusions.....	4-37
4.4	Analysis of SURTASS LFA Sonar Operations Under Current MMPA Rule.....	4-37
	4.4.1 Risk Assessment Approach.....	4-37
	4.4.2 Risk Assessment Case Study.....	4-41
	4.4.3 Marine Mammal Strandings.....	4-51
	4.4.3.1 Cetacean Stranding Events.....	4-51
	4.4.3.2 Pinniped Stranding Events.....	4-54
	4.4.3.3 Conclusion.....	4-55
	4.4.4 Multiple Systems Analysis.....	4-55
4.5	Socioeconomics.....	4-55
	4.5.1 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries.....	4-56
	4.5.2 Other Recreational Activities.....	4-56
	4.5.3 Research and Exploration Activities.....	4-56
4.6	Potential Cumulative Impacts.....	4-57
	4.6.1 Cumulative Impacts from Anthropogenic Oceanic Noise.....	4-57
	4.6.1.1 Recent Changes in Oceanic Noise Levels.....	4-57
	4.6.1.2 Commercial Shipping.....	4-58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	4.6.1.3 Vessel Noise Sources	4-58
	4.6.1.4 Oil and Gas Industry.....	4-59
	4.6.1.5 Military and Commercial Sonar	4-60
	4.6.1.6 SURTASS LFA Sonar Compared with Other Human-Generated Sources of Oceanic Noise	6-61
	4.6.2 Cumulative Impacts due to Injury and Lethal Takes.....	4-61
	4.6.3 Summary of Cumulative Impacts	4-63
4.7	Evaluation of Alternatives.....	4-63
	4.7.1 No Action Alternative.....	4-65
	4.7.2 Alternative 1	4-66
	4.7.3 Alternative 2 (the preferred alternative)	4-66
	4.7.4 Alternative 3	4-66
	4.7.5 Alternative 4	4-66
	4.7.6 Analysis of Alternatives.....	4-66
	4.7.7 Conclusions	4-75
5	MITIGATION MEASURES	5-1
	5.1 Geographic Restrictions.....	5-2
	5.1.1 Offshore Biologically Important Areas	5-2
	5.1.2 Recreational and Commercial Dive Sites	5-2
	5.1.3 Sound Field Modeling	5-2
	5.2 Monitoring to Prevent Injury to Marine Animals.....	5-3
	5.2.1 Visual Monitoring.....	5-3
	5.2.2 Passive Acoustic Monitoring.....	5-4
	5.2.3 Active Acoustic Monitoring	5-4
	5.2.4 Resumption of SURTASS LFA Sonar Transmissions	5-5
	5.3 Summary of Mitigation.....	5-6
	5.4 Evaluation of the Use of Small Boats and Aircraft for Pre-operational Surveys	5-7
6	RELATIONSHIP OF THE PROPOSED ACTION TO FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL PLANS, POLICIES, AND CONTROLS.....	6-1
	6.1 National Defense Authorization Act.....	6-1
	6.2 Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act.....	6-2
	6.3 Executive Order 13158.....	6-2
	6.4 Oceans Act of 2000	6-2
	6.5 Executive Order 13178.....	6-3
	6.6 Executive Order 13196.....	6-3
7	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS.....	7-1
8	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT TERM USES OF MAN'S	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	ENVIRONMENT AND THE ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY 8-1	
9	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	9-1
10	PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	10-1
	10.1 Public Review Process	10-1
	10.1.1 Filing and Distribution of the Draft SEIS	10-1
	10.1.2 Public Review Period and Public Hearings	10-1
11	DISTRIBUTION LIST	11-1
12	LITERATURE CITED	12-1
13	LIST OF PREPARERS AND REVIEWERS	13-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
---------	-------	------

APPENDICES

	APPENDIX A - Correspondence.....	A-1
--	----------------------------------	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Number	Title	Page
1-1	SURTASS LFA Sonar Operation Areas Permitted under Stipulation Regarding Permanent Injunction as Amended.....	1-13
1-2	VICTORIOUS Class (T-AGOS 19 Class) Ocean Surveillance Ship.....	1-15
2-1	SURTASS LFA Sonar Systems.....	2-2
2-2	Projected LFA and CLFA Sonar Systems Availability	2-3
3.2-1a	Octavolateralis system	3.2-12
3.2-1b	Electron micrograph of the sensory surface of a fish ear.....	3.2-13
3.2-2a	Behavioral audiograms for marine and freshwater species.....	3.2-15
3.2-2b	Behavioral audiograms for selected marine species.....	3.2-16
4.1-1	Scanning electron micrographs of the saccular sensory epithelium of the pink snapper following exposure to a seismic air gun.....	4.6
4.1-2	Hearing thresholds for different fish in a study investigating the effects of exposure to a seismic air gun array on fish hearing	4-11
4.1-3	Photograph of experimental tank (with rainbow trout) being lifted out of the water	4-13
4.1-4	Schematic of one presentation of the LFA signal used in the SURTASS LFA sonar experiments	4-14
4.1-5	Scanning electron micrograph from an experimental rainbow trout that had been exposed to the MAX signal	4-14
4.1-6	Examples of hearing data obtained in the SURTASS LFA sonar studies.....	4-15
4.4-1	SURTASS LFA sonar LOA application sensitivity/risk analysis flowchart	4-40
4.4-2	SURTASS LFA sonar western Pacific operational areas	4-41
4.7-1	12 nm (solid line) versus 25 nm (dotted line) standoff distance from the coast (dB values are SELS)	4-69
4.7-2	Coastal Shelf Cases	4-72
4.7-3	Normalized density regions for assumed biologic types.....	4-73

List of Tables

Number	Title	Page
P-1	SURTASS LFA Sonar FOEIS/EIS and DSEIS Comparison	P-4
1-1	World Submarine Inventory	1-7
1-2	Chronology of Key SURTASS LFA Regulatory Events.....	1-11
2-1	Nominal SURTASS LFA Sonar Annual and 49-Day Deployment Schedule Single Ship ...	2-6
2-2	Comparison of Final and Supplemental EIS Annual Operating Profiles	2-7
2-3	SURTASS LFA Sonar System Alternatives Matrix	2-12
2-4	Offshore Biologically Important Areas	2-14
2-5	Additional Research Status	2-15
3.2-1	Selected Fish Orders	3.2-8
3.2-2	Information Summary for Sea Turtle.....	3.2-28
3.2-3	Information Summary for Mysticetes.....	3.2-50
3.2-4	Information Summary for Odontocetes	3.2-92
3.2-5	Information Summary for Otariidae: Fur Seals and Sea Lions	3.2-129
3.2-6	Information Summary for Phocidae: True Seals	3.2-146
3.3-1	Catches in Marine Fishing Areas by type, 2002.....	3.3-2
3.3-2	Marine capture production by principal species, 2002 (Top 15).....	3.3-3
3.3-3	Nominal catches in Marine Fishing Areas.....	3.3-4
3.3-4	Top 10 fishing nations	3.3-5
3.3-5	Total fish imports and exports by region for 2002	3.3-9
3.3-6	Aboriginal subsistence hunting for the 2001 and 2002 hunting season as reported by the IWC	3.3-10
3.3-7	Japanese Scientific Research Permit whale catches as reported by the IWC.....	3.3-11
3.3-8	Norway's total mink whale catches from 1993 to 2002.....	3.3-11
4.4-1	Summary of SURTASS LFA sonar operations.....	4-38
4.4-2	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 1, Summer Season	4-43
4.4-3	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 2, Winter Season	4-44
4.4-4	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 3, Fall Season	4-45
4.4-5	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 4, Spring and Summer Seasons	4-46
4.4-6	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 5, Fall Season	4-47
4.4-7	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 6, Summer Season	4-48
4.4-8	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 7, Fall Season	4-49
4.4-9	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 8, Summer Season	4-50
4.4-10	Estimates of percentage of marine mammal stocks potentially affected for Mission Site 9, Summer Season	4-51
4.7-1	SURTASS LFA sonar system alternatives matrix	4-65

List of Tables

Number	Title	Page
4.7-2	Analytical methodology for comparing potential for impacts on marine animals between 22 km (12 nm) and 46 km (25 nm) coastal standoff ranges.....	4-68
4.7-3	ZOI annulus vs. corrected risk area for 22 km (12 nm) coastal standoff case	4-70
4.7-4	ZOI annulus vs. corrected risk area for 46 km (25 nm) coastal standoff case	4-70
4.7-5	Total relative risk (corrected risk area multiplied by normalized densities) for 3 shelf cases and 3 biology types; for 22 km (12 nm) coastal standoff case.....	4-74
4.7-6	Total relative risk (corrected risk area multiplied by normalized densities) for 3 shelf cases and 3 biology types; for 46 km (25 nm) coastal standoff case.....	4-74
4.7-7	Percent Change in Estimated Risk for the 46 km (25 nm) coastal standoff case (Alternatives 3 and 4, Table 4.7-6) versus the 22 km (12 nm) coastal standoff case (Alternatives 1 and 2, Table 4.7-5)	4-74
4.7-8	Estimate of ability to meet the Navy's Purpose and Need/Military Readiness/Training for Alternatives 1 through 4	4-77
5-1	Summary of Mitigation	5-6